PLANNING, REGENERATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE PORTFOLIO HOLDER DECISION – 25 MAY 2021

BRITISH TELECOM CONSULTATION ON THE INTENDED REMOVAL OF 12 PUBLIC PAYPHONES WITHIN THE DISTRICT

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The Council has been consulted by British Telecom (BT) on a proposal to remove a total of 12 Public Payphones from within the District of which 3 are located within the National Park and 9 are located outside the Park. The Council is able to raise objection to the removal of any of these payphones, provided such objections can be justified by reference to specified criteria and whilst having regard to the interests of telecommunication providers. It does not relate to the removal of the call box.
- 1.2 This report gives details of the consultation exercises that have been undertaken and provides an assessment for each of the 12 payphones affected in light of the responses received. Approval is sought to retain two payphones. Following approval, the response will be submitted to BT and the Secretary of State. BT has a right to challenge the Council's decision through an appeal process.

2. DETAILS

- 2.1 A schedule of all the payphones BT wish to remove is attached to this report at **Appendix** 1.
- 2.2 The call boxes that BT has proposed to remove within the National Park and outside the National Park are identified by numbers 1 to 12.

3. CONSULTATION

- 3.1 BT has displayed a notice on each call box to tell the public:
 - i) that BT is planning to remove the payphone
 - ii) the name of the Local Planning Authority to whom people can send any comments (within 42 days)
 - iii) a Freephone number people can call to find out the next nearest phone box
- 3.2. In addition, all affected Parish Councils and Local Members have been consulted on BT's list of proposed payphones to be removed. They have also been advised of BT's scheme whereby individuals or community groups, including Parish Councils, may 'adopt' a call box for £1. The 'adopt a box' scheme is a matter for the individual or organisation that wishes to

adopt the call box and BT itself. The scheme has no bearing on the recommendations in this report, however it has been mentioned under the 'Parish Council Response' section of the assessment if a Parish Council have informed us of their wish to adopt a box.

3.3 In response to consultation the Council has not received any letters from local residents in relation to any payphones. The following Parish Councils have responded to the consultation:

Parish/Town Council	Payphone Number	Comments
Ellingham Harbridge and Ibsley Parish Council	(01425) 471310 (box 2)	We discussed the removal of Linwood Road, Linwood, Ringwood BH24 3QT (01425471310) BT payphone box yesterday at our Parish Council meeting and the Parish Council have asked that I contact you to ask for a delay in postponing the removal of this payphone box. Reason being that currently if there was an accident along that road, there is no ability to connect to a mobile mast. An EE mast was moved and now it is not possible to use a mobile phone in the Linwood area. This payphone would be the only way to contact emergency services if there was an emergency. It is an essential community service therefore whilst getting mobile reception is problematic, once the mobile reception has been improved it would be fine to remove the payphone box.
New Milton Town Council	(01425) 610266 (box 3)	No objection
	(01425) 610366 (box 4)	No objection
Rockbourne Parish Council	(01725) 518209 (box 7)	I am reliably informed by the Parish Councillors that an attempt was made a few years ago to remove the payphone from Rockbourne. The reason why the payphone was reprieved, back then, remains. The mobile phone network coverage is so poor in the surrounding area that the payphone is a necessary requirement, particularly when making calls to the emergency services.

3.4 The following Local Members have responded to the consultation:

Clir Edward Heron – (01725) 518332 box 1 - Poor mobile phone reception, close to Council/Affordable Housing, Not been used as despite fault being reported numerous times by residents the phone hasn't been operable since the last consultation on its removal, required to facilitate safe usage of defibrillator attached located nearby.

(01725) 518209 box 7 - Poor mobile phone reception.

Clir John Ward – (01425) 610266 box 3 - No objection.

4. ASSESSMENT

- 4.1 The following factors are of relevance in assessing whether any of the payphones should be retained:
 - i) Usage. How many times has the payphone been used in the last 12 months?
 - ii) Emergency calls. What is the likelihood that the payphone may be required in the case of an emergency to contact services? Is the call box near a traffic accident black spot?
 - iii) The demographic of the area. Does the social composition of the area indicate that a payphone may be required for residents who cannot afford their own home phone or mobile phone?
 - iv) Mobile phone coverage. What is the quality of mobile phone provision for making voice calls?
- 4.2 All of the payphones have been assessed using these criteria and the conclusions are set out in the document attached at **Appendix 1**. The assessment takes account of the consultation responses received and in one case, requests a delay to the removal of the payphone given current concerns with mobile network coverage at the present time (Linwood, Ringwood (01425) 471310 box 2).
- 4.3 In summary, the assessment **identifies two payphones** which it is considered necessary to retain as follows:
 - i. Lay-by near Cartwheel Inn PC01 Whitsbury Fordingbridge SP6 3PZ (box 1)
 - ii. PCO PCO1 Rockbourne Fordingbridge SP6 3NH (box 7)

5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no relevant financial considerations for this consultation.

6. CRIME & DISORDER, ENVIRONMENTAL AND EQUALITY & DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

6.1 There are none.

7. RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 That the Council issues a decision for publication which raises objection to the removal of the 2 payphones identified in the assessment at Appendix 1.

8. PORTFOLIO HOLDER ENDORSEMENT

I have agreed to the recommendation of this report.

Sign: Cllr Diane Andrews Date: 25 May 2021

For further information contact: Background Papers:

Vivienne Baxter Senior Development Management Officer Planning

Tel: 023 8028 5442

Email: Vivienne.baxter@nfdc.gov.uk

List of relevant payphones and accompanying email from BT

Date on which notice given of this Decision – 25 May 2021 Last date for call-in – 1 June 2021

01725518332 LAY-BY NR CARTWHEEL INN PCO1 WHITSBURY FORDINGBRIDGE

SP6 3PZ



Usage (last 12 months): 0

Accident Provision: One slight incident (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: Some council owned properties in close proximity (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Some problems/no coverage (Ofcom)

COUNCILLOR HERON RESPONSE: Poor mobile phone reception, close to

Council/Affordable Housing, Not been used as despite fault being reported numerous times by residents the phone hasn't been operable since the last consultation on its removal, required to facilitate safe usage of defibrillator attached located nearby.

Recommendation: OBJECTION

Reason: Mobile phone reception seems to be quite poor in this location and the lack of use would appear to be as a result of the phone not working. Its working retention would assist in the proper use of the nearby defibrillator.

2 01425471310 PCO PCO1 LINWOOD RINGWOOD BH24 3QT



Accident Provision: One slight incident (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: No council owned properties in close proximity (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Good (Ofcom)

PARISH COUNCIL RESPONSE: We discussed the removal of Linwood Road, Linwood, Ringwood BH24 3QT (01425471310) BT payphone box yesterday at our Parish Council meeting and the Parish Council have asked that I contact you to ask for a delay in postponing the removal of this payphone box. Reason being that currently if there was an accident along that road, there is no ability to connect to a mobile mast. An EE mast was moved and now it is not possible to use a mobile phone in the Linwood area. This payphone would be the only way to contact emergency services if there was an emergency. It is an essential community service therefore whilst getting mobile reception is problematic, once the mobile reception has been improved it would be fine to remove the payphone box.

Recommendation: NO OBJECTION SUBJECT TO A DELAY

Reason: Whilst no objection is raised to the proposed removal, we would request that consideration is given to the current mobile provision highlighted above to ensure phone access either by payphone or mobile.

3 01425610266 JUNC BEECHWOOD AVE PCO1 MARLEY AVENUE NEW MILTON BH25 5LH



Usage (last 12 months): 20

Accident Provision: One slight and one serious incident 145m away (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: Council estate 270m to south (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Good (Ofcom)
TOWN COUNCIL RESPONSE: no objection
COUNCILLOR WARD RESPONSE: no objection

Recommendation: NO OBJECTION



Accident Provision: None (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: No council owned properties in close proximity (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Good (Ofcom)
TOWN COUNCIL RESPONSE: no objection

Recommendation: NO OBJECTION

5 01590642257 PCO PCO1 CLIFF ROAD MILFORD ON SEA LYMINGTON SO41 0NT



Usage (last 12 months): 0

Accident Provision: Three slight incidents (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: No council owned properties in close proximity (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Good (Ofcom)
PARISH COUNCIL RESPONSE: No comment

Recommendation: NO OBJECTION

01590643111

OPP SANDHAM PCO1 KEYHAVEN ROAD KEYHAVEN LYMINGTON



Usage (last 12 months): 1

Accident Provision: None (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: No council owned properties in close proximity (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Good (Ofcom)

PARISH COUNCIL RESPONSE: No comment

Recommendation: NO OBJECTION

7 01725518209 PCO PCO1 ROCKBOURNE FORDINGBRIDGE SP6 3NH



Usage (last 12 months): 0

Accident Provision: None (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: Council owned properties 130m away (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Mostly good (Ofcom)

PARISH COUNCIL RESPONSE: I am reliably informed by the Parish Councillors that an attempt was made a few years ago to remove the payphone from Rockbourne. The reason why the payphone was reprieved, back then, remains. The mobile phone network coverage is so poor in the surrounding area that the payphone is a necessary requirement, particularly when making calls to the emergency services.

COUNCILLOR HERON RESPONSE: Poor mobile phone reception.

Recommendation: OBJECTION

Reason: Mobile phone reception in this area is not good for all networks and this is

supported by the Parish.

01590677425

O S NUMBER 17 PCO1 HIGH STREET LYMINGTON

SO41 9AA



Usage (last 12 months): 3

Accident Provision: Two slight incidents (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: No council owned properties in close proximity (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Good (Ofcom)

PARISH COUNCIL RESPONSE: No comment

Recommendation: NO OBJECTION



Accident Provision: One slight one serious incident (Crashmap.com) **Demographic:** No council owned properties in close proximity (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Good (Ofcom)

PARISH COUNCIL RESPONSE: No comment

Recommendation: NO OBJECTION This reflects the Council's view from March 2020

10 02380891211 NR LEPE BEACH PCO1 LEPE EXBURY SOUTHAMPTON





Usage (last 12 months): 0

Accident Provision: None (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: No council owned properties in close proximity (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Good (Ofcom)
PARISH COUNCIL RESPONSE: No comment

Recommendation: NO OBJECTION This reflects the Council's view from March 2020



11

Accident Provision: None (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: No council owned properties in close proximity (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: Good (Ofcom)

PARISH COUNCIL RESPONSE: No comment

Recommendation: NO OBJECTION This reflects the Council's view from March 2020

O/S POST OFFICE PCO1 RUMBRIDGE STREET TOTTON
12 02380862440 SOUTHAMPTON SO40 9DL



Usage (last 12 months): 0

Accident Provision: One serious incident (Crashmap.com)

Demographic: Council owned flats to the rear of properties opposite (Forestmap)

Mobile Phone Coverage: (Ofcom)

PARISH COUNCIL RESPONSE: No comment

Recommendation: NO OBJECTION This reflects the Council's view from March 2020